LYNCH

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: ADAMS GOLF, INC. : CONSOLIDATED

SECURITIES LITIGATION : C.A. NO. 99-371 KAJ

X

ORAL DEPOSITION OF ED J. LYNCH, CPA

Tuesday, August 1, 2006

The oral deposition of ED J. LYNCH, CPA, was held at the law offices of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP, 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4100, Dallas, Texas, from 9:37 a.m. to 12:41 p.m., before Jamie K. Israelow, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Certified LiveNote Reporter.

RSA/VERITEXT COURT REPORTING COMPANY

1845 Walnut Street, 15th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215)241-1000 (888)777-6690

VERITEXT PA COURT REPORTING COMPANY (215) 241-1000 (888) 777-6690 (610) 434-8588

Page 10

And what do you mean by that?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

24 for that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

Well, estimations are done by accountants in preparing accounting entries Estimations are done by accountants in setting accruals under Financial Accounting Standard Number 5. Estimations are used in a number of places in the accounting literature. So when I see the word "approximated," I liken it to "estimated."

Q Is there a standard that accountants 11 apply when they're using a term like "estimates have approximated actual costs incurred"? Is there some type of standard that one could turn to 13 14 to say: Yeah, objectively, this is approximate?

As I sit here, I don't recall. Well, 15 16 I don't recall ever doing a search on the term "approximated" or "approximate" in the 18 accounting literature. And as I sit here and 19 think about the literature, which is rather 20 lengthy, that makes up generally accepted 21 accounting principles in the US, I can't think of a particular standard that -- that would define 23 that, but I -- like I said, haven't done a search

Page 12

comes up in a search doesn't necessarily mean it's 1 2 a term of art in the accounting profession.

because I can imagine that "approximated" is in 3

4 the accounting literature numerous times. 5

And that doesn't necessarily mean that, after reading those hits in a search, 6

that I would conclude it's a term of art in 7 accounting. I -- I could look at that, but I just 8

have not looked at the term "approximated." I 9

don't think I've ever looked at the term 10 "approximated" that I can recall. 11

So there's no standard, in other words, that says: If something is within 5 percent, 10 percent, 15 percent, if the

14 estimates and the actual costs incurred are within

10 percent of each other, that that's approximate? 16 And if it's beyond -- if they're beyond 10 percent 17

of each other, then they're no longer approximate? 18 19

Well, I haven't done a search of

20 the --

22

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

12

13

O 21 Okav.

- of the accounting literature to

see if, the way you just defined that question, 23

literature would pop up, so I don't know.

Page 11

Page 13

So then is it reasonable to say that that sentence is subjective in its use of "approximated actual costs incurred," that the writer of that sentence is the person who believes that the estimates were reasonably proximal to the actual costs incurred?

Well, I don't really know who the writer of that sentence was to tell you what that person meant. I - I would have to speculate to 10 tell you that. I can tell you what it means to 11 me, but I just don't know what the person that wrote it was thinking at the time they wrote that 12

So when you read that sentence, if 13 14 I'm understanding your testimony correctly, "approximated" there is to be understood, almost 16 in layman's terms, that it was near? That they approximated -- that the word "approximately" is 17 to be understood as the way a layman would 19 understand it, that it's not an accounting term of 20 art, necessarily?

21 Well, I'm not -- I don't think it's 22 an accounting term of art. To give you an opinion about that, I'd do a search in my technical library and see if "approximated" came up. If it

Okay. I invite your attention to 1 Paragraph 18, which says: Adams Golf shipped 2 products to customers on the basis of agreed 3 prices and sent invoices to their customers following shipment. As such, the prices 5 of Adams Golf clubs were fixed at the time of 6 7 sale. 8

What's the -- what's the basis for your information? I'm sorry. You did write that paragraph; is that correct? 10

Α Yes. I either wrote it or revised it. And the basis of that paragraph is a study of the disclosures by the company and the depositions that I read in this case, which are outlined in my report. And I'm trying to think if there's anything else that comes to my mind, other than the — the filings of S-1A and the Qs. I think there was some mention of revenue recognition in each of those. I'm pretty sure it was in the Qs I know it was in the Ks.

Did you personally review or analyze 21. any sales invoices or sales contracts between 22 Adams Golf and their retailers or distributors? 23 24 I don't recall seeing either of

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

| | | i - | |
|-----|--|------|--|
| | Page 14 | | Page 16 |
| 1 | those. | 1 | 302? |
| 2 | Q Did you ask to see | 2 | A No. |
| 3 | A No. | 3 | Q Moving ahead to Paragraph 25, quote: |
| 4 | Q either invoices or any type of | 4 | As described above, Adams Golf disclosed that it |
| 5 | sale contract? | 5 | had a 90-day "no questions asked" return policy on |
| 6 | A Excuse me for cutting you off. | 6 | its products. In fact, the 90-day "no questions |
| 7 | No, I did not. | 7 | asked" return policy did not apply to all sales, |
| 8 | Q Moving on to Paragraph 19. I'll read | 8 | but rather only direct response sales. All other |
| 9 | them for the record because they're short, and it | 9 | sales were made without a right of return. The |
| 10 | makes it easier to read the deposition later. | 10 | company did, however, accept nondirect response |
| 11 | I have not seen any evidence | 11 | sales returns on a case-by-case basis, but only as |
| 1.2 | contrary to the customer's obligation to pay for | 12 | an accommodation to maintain a customer |
| 13 | them pursuant to the customary business terms or | 13 | relationship and only after that customer had been |
| 14 | that any contingency or prerequisite existed that | 14 | approved for the return. |
| 15 | the products be resold before the customer was | 15 | Do you know what percent of |
| 1.6 | obligated to pay Adams Golf for the goods. | 1.6 | Tight Lies sales were direct response as opposed |
| 17 | The same question: Did you | 17 | to nondirect response, I guess, retail, or |
| 18 | perform any independent review of evidence in an | 18 | whatever the proper term would be? |
| 19 | effort to find out if any of those circumstances | 19 | MR BESSETTE: What point in |
| 20 | existed? | 20 | time? |
| 21 | A I looked at a number of things to | 21 | Q (By Mr. Mara) 1990 first two |
| 22 | as a basis to make this statement. The things | 22 | quarters of '98. |
| 23 | that occur to me as I sit here are the | 23 | A I believe those facts are laid out in |
| 24 | confirmations that were sent to the customers | 24 | Paragraphs 28 and – not 28. Wrong paragraph. |
| | Page 15 | | Page 17 |
| 1 | confirming their accounts receivable. | 1 | It's a later paragraph. It's the next page, |
| 2 | I read a number of the | 2 | Page 7 of my report. You were asking about the |
| 3 | depositions, particularly those that were of the | 3 | quarter ended March 31, '98? |
| 4 | salespeople that were deposed, that I know that | 4 | Q Yeah, or even the first two quarters |
| 5 | were deposed, and I believe those people are | 5 | of '98. But sure, the first quarter of '98. |
| 6 | included earlier in my report. The ones that | 6 | A The first quarter of '98 is in |
| 7 | occurred to me as I sit here are Mr. Gonsalves - | 7 | Paragraph 36. |
| 8 | if I say his name right. | 8 | Q I see. Okay. |
| 9 | Q Right | 9 | A 3.6 million compared to 22 million. |
| 10 | A In fact all these names, I may not | 10 | 3.6 million were direct sales, 22 million were |
| 11 | know the pronunciation. I just read about them. | 11 | from commercial accounts is how we've looked at |
| 12 | Mr. Greaney Ms. Brooks | 1.2 | the data presented in the KPMG work papers. |
| 13 | There may have been one or two others. | 13 | Q Okay |
| 14 | Mr. Brewer, I think, and then of course, Mr. Adams | 14 | A And then subsequent paragraphs |
| 15 | had some things about the sales department in his | 15 | outline the same numbers for other quarters. |
| 16 | deposition. | 16 | Q Thank you Yeah, I see that |
| 17 | And then I did have a | 17 | You said: All other sales |
| 18 | conversation with the former CFO - Mr. Hatfield, | 18 | were made without a right of return |
| 19 | I believe is his name — where I asked about how | 19 | And again, the source of your |
| 20 | the allowance for sales returns was done. | 20 | information for that simple statement is? |
| 21 | Those are the things that | 21 | A My recollection, as I sit here, is |
| 22 | occur to me in answer to your question | 22 | that it was in the KPMG work papers that I saw |
| 23 | Q Did you interview anyone other than | 23 | that, and I believe I discussed that with |
| 24 | Mr. Hatfield when preparing your report, Exhibit | 24 | Mr. Hatfield, too, in my interview of |
| | The second secon | VIII | areas an agree, que françois an area a magain planagado agree do area a grand françois an area a comprehensivo de area a compr |

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Page 18

Mr. Hatfield, the former CFO.

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

1.2

1

7

8

9

1.0

13

14

15

18

- So I know in the paragraph you go on to discuss a case-by-case basis where some returns were permitted, so it's your statement that except for those few instances, it was a blanket policy across the board at Adams Golf that there was no right of return on clubs in retail sales, what I'm calling retail sales, which means nondirect response?
- 10 A Okay. I think I understand. I - I11 think I've referred to them as commercial, but --
 - That's fine, too.
- 13 Α -- if you want to call them retail, 14 that's fine.
- 15 Q Commercial.

24 sales, no right of return?

- 16 Α And I'm sorry. I got hung up on the 17 difference in retail and commercial, and I lost 18 the gist of your question.
- For commercial sales, Adams Golf had 19 20 a blanket policy of no right of return? I know you say there were exceptions and we'll talk about 22 those, but other than those exceptions which you've carved out in this paragraph, commercial 23

5 6

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

5

7

8

9

10

17

3 documents, or a sales contract, any of those things, looking for that policy. I accepted the evidence that I had seen that said there was no right, rather than going back to the underlying 7 documents. Q So then, speaking as a layman,

going back to the purchase order or to the sales

invoice, which I would consider the original

when - I'm trying to summarize. When you identify a practice of return as an accountant or auditor, the policy of the -- the written policy concerning returns becomes less relevant? MR. BESSETTE: I'm going to

13 14 object as vague and ambiguous.

15 MR. MARA: That's a good 16 objection.

- The do you want me to answer it? Α
- 0 (By Mr. Mara) Yeah.
- 19 The -- I think both facts can be Α 20 relevant. I think to me, as an accountant, it is 21 more relevant what is actually happening because it's indicative of a pattern of practice that the accounting literature would call for me, as an 24 accountant, to make allowance for.

Page 19

Page 21

Page 20

I believe the documentation that we Α saw in looking at what was available to us had stated that there was not a right of return, but there was a practice of return. And so what I was trying to document here was what the policy was and what the practice was, because accounting looks at both.

And under generally accepted accounting principles, if a company has a practice allowing returns, whether or not they have a policy, one should accrue for an estimated sales 12 return based on a pattern and practice, as well as a policy. So you really have to look at both from an accounting perspective.

- So again, did you go back to any 16 original documents or source documents when you were making the statement that there was a policy 17 of no right of return? Do you want me to define "original or source"? 19
- No. I understand original and source 20 21 documents.
- 22 You know, I don't recall doing 23 that because we had a seen a practice. So 24 that's -- that's my recollection is I don't recall

Do you know what particular provision of the accounting literature would address that 3 situation? 4

A My recollection is that FAS 48, F-A-S 48, would be the basis for making an accrual for any kind of sales return, that which is written or that which is unwritten.

Is it common for a company to have a written policy of no right of return but a practice of right of return?

11 I don't know that I've ever done a study of determining whether it's common or not. 12 I've seen it before, but I - I'm not sure my anecdotal seeing it in practice would necessarily 15 lead me to say that it's a common practice. I've 16 seen that kind of practice before.

> Q How often?

18 A Well, as I sit here and think about 19 it, sometimes I'd say, just from a – 12 years at 20 devoting all of my attention to auditing, I'd seen 21 it a number of times where the formal policies of 22 the company had not caught up to the practices of the company in a - as it relates to sales 24 returns.

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

VERITEXT PA COURT REPORTING COMPANY (888) 777-6690 (610) 434-8588 (215) 241-1000

| Page 22 | | | Page 24 |
|----------------|---|----------------|--|
| 1 | Q Was it the formal policy of Adams | 1. | if there was a right of return or there was not a |
| 2 | Golf to have no right of return on commercial | 2 | right of return? |
| 3 | sales at the beginning of 1998? | 3 | MR. BESSETTE: Objection. I |
| 4 | A I believe I believe maybe I | 4 | think that misstates the testimony and the report |
| 5 | shouldn't say it was their formal policy of no | 5 | A What I'm saying is I believe there |
| 6 | return. I think I should say that they did not | 6 | was no right of return, and there was a practice |
| 7 | have a policy that allowed for returns on | 7 | that allowed returns that was contrary to that no |
| 8 | commercial sales, so that, you know, there's a | 8 | right of return |
| 9 | slight difference in what I'm saying from your | 9 | Q (By Mr. Mara) Is that an accepted |
| 10 | question to what my answer is, because I would not | 10 | practice strike that |
| 11 | have expected, in looking at the underlying | 11 | You testified that as an |
| 12 | documents, to see no return allowed, so that would | 12 | accountant and auditor you had encountered this |
| 13 | be a formal policy of no return | 13 | practice previously on a handful of occasions |
| 14 | Q Yeah. | 14 | MR. BESSETTE: Well - |
| 15 | A I would have expected not to see any | 15 | Q (By Mr. Mara) Correct me if I'm |
| 16 | provisions allowing return. | 16 | wrong. On some number of occasions |
| 17 | Q So but isn't it the same thing? | 17 | MR. BESSETTE: Let me just |
| 1.8 | If you're saying – so I guess what you're saying | 18 | object. I'm sorry. "This practice." I think |
| 19 | is that instead of an affirmative statement | 19 | that's different than what you're just talking |
| 20 | telling a retailer or a commercial account that | 20 | about. |
| 21 | they couldn't return clubs, was it Adams' policy | 21 | MR. MARA: Okay. I'll define. |
| 22 | just to be silent on the issue? | 22 | Q (By Mr. Mara) By that I meant the |
| 23 | A I I think the sentence I wrote was | 23 | policy, whether written or unwritten, of no right of return, but a practice of returns is what I'm |
| 24 | purposeful here that said: All other sales were | 24 | and the second s |
| | Page 23 | | Page 25 |
| 1 | made without a right of return. | 1 | talking about when I say "this practice." |
| 2 | Q Uh-huh. | 2 | And you testified correct |
| 3 | A What I intended to convey there was | 3 | me if I'm wrong that you had encountered this |
| 4 | not that I had gone and seen that there was no | 4 | on some number of occasions in your career prior |
| 5 | return allowed, but that there was no provision | 5 | to your analysis of Adams Golf? |
| 6 | allowing a return or no return that that I | 6 | A Yes, I think you're right. |
| 7 | guess, in answer to your question, I expect if I | 7 | Q Is that from an accounting and |
| 8 | went back to the source documents it would be | 8 | auditing perspective, is that considered proper |
| 9 | silent, which is what I was trying to convey by | 9 | business practices? |
| 10 | that sentence there. | 10 | MR. BESSETTE: I'm going to |
| 11 | Q But isn't that silence different than | | object as vague. |
| 12 | saying that — all other sales were made without a | 12 | A The term "proper business practices" is not something that generally accepted — |
| 13 | right of return. I submit that in reading that | 13 14 | |
| 14 15 | sentence that means there was no right of return. A And — and what I intended by that | 15 | Q (By Mr. Mara) Fair enough. A accounting practices nor generally |
| 16 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 16 | accepted auditing standards I think would really |
| 17 | sentence was "specified." I mean, there was no right of return specified is what I think the | 17 | address. It's not really a term of art. |
| 18 | underlying documents will show. | 18 | O Understood. It was an inartful |
| 19 | But like I said, I haven't | 19 | question. |
| 20 | looked at the underlying documents, so I am | 20 | Is it considered proper under |
| 1 | worked at the underlying documents, so I am | 1 | |
| 121 | eneculating a hit here, but that's what I think | 21 | CIAAP and CIAAS: |
| 21 | speculating a bit here, but that's what I think. That's what I was trying to convey by that | 21 | GAAP and GAAS? A And now we're talking about is |
| 21 22 23 | speculating a bit here, but that's what I think. That's what I was trying to convey by that sentence. | 21 22 23 | A And now we're talking about is what considered — |

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

| - | | <u> </u> | |
|-----|--|----------|--|
| | Page 26 | | Page 28 |
| 1 | written or unwritten policy of no right of return | 1 | A Yes, sir. |
| 2 | but an actual practice of returns. | 2 | Q How frequently did this acceptance of |
| 3 | MR. BESSETTE: Let me just | 3 | nondirect response sales returns occur in 1998? |
| 4 | object. Are you talking about as laid out in | 4 | We'll start with the first two quarters of 1998. |
| 5 | Paragraph 25 of Exhibit 302, or what's the | 5 | A In the range of 3 to 5 percent. |
| 6 | difference? | 6 | Q So that's 3 to 5 percent of |
| 7 | MR. MARA: Yeah, I'm basing it | 7 | commercial sales? |
| 8 | strictly on Paragraph 25 and Mr. Lynch's testimony | 8 | A Yes, sir. |
| 9 | this morning. I'm not | 9 | Q Okay. Do you know what customers |
| 10 | A My understanding of how GAAP deals | 10 | were involved in those nondirect response sales |
| 11 | with this is related to what FAS 48 and practice | 11 | returns? |
| 12 | around applying FAS 48 has been in the accounting | 12 | A As I sit here, I cannot think of a |
| 13 | world, which I live in, and that is whether the | 13 | particular customer. |
| 14 | policy specifically states return or not. If | 14 | Q Did you go back and look at customer |
| 1.5 | there's a practice of return, generally accepted | 15 | records, or again, did you go back to any source |
| 16 | accounting principles would acquire the company to | 16 | documents for that information? |
| 17 | accrue for the expected returns. | 17 | A The the source document that I |
| 18 | Q (By Mr. Mara) As an auditor, would | 18 | recall looking at that relates to this issue is a |
| 19 | you ask that company to comport its practice with | 19 | confirmation from one of the customers that talked |
| 20 | its policy? | 20 | about a return – I think it was a return – |
| 21 | A I cannot recall ever doing that in my | 21 | |
| 22 | audit days, so I guess I'd have to say I | 22 | |
| 23 | probably – well, I didn't, so I'm not sure I | 23 | KPMG, the outside auditors. |
| 24 | • | 24 | Q And that was from one customer? |
| | Page 27 | | Page 29 |
| 7 | | | _ |
| 1 | that had a practice different from its written | 1 | A Yes. |
| 2 | terms. | 2 | Q And you you used that confirmation |
| 3 | Q So if there was a practice of return, | 3 | to identify that there was a practice of return? |
| 4 | as we've discussed it this morning, at Adams Golf | 4 | A No. No. I was answering your other |
| 5 | in the first two quarters of '98 or the | 5 | question. |
| 6 | calendar year of 1998, what is the value of your | 6 | Q Okay |
| 7 | sentence: All other sales were made without a | 7 | A I thought I was answering your other |
| 8 | right of return? | 8 | question. |
| 9 | In fact, there was a right of | 9 | Q Right. So that was the source |
| 1.0 | return is what I'm hearing from you. | 10 | information you went back to? |
| 11 | A Well, I think what the value of the | 11 | A Well, you asked me if I'd seen any |
| 12 | sentence was as contrast to the next sentence. | 12 | source information, and I answered that the thing |
| 13 | Q And for the record, the next sentence | 13 | I could think of related to a commercial customer |
| 14 | being: The company did, however, accept nondirect | 14 | was the confirmation response of one of the |
| 1.5 | response sales returns on a case-by-case basis, | 15 | commercial customers, related to one of the |
| 16 | but only as an accommodation to maintain a | 16 | invoices on the invoices that they still owed to |
| 17 | customer relationship and only after that customer | 1.7 | the company. |
| 18 | had been approved for return. | 18 | Q And from that one confirmation |
| 19 | Just for clarity of the | 19 | referencing one invoice, did you extrapolate that |
| 20 | record. | 20 | out somehow to say that there was a practice of |
| 21 | The sentence I just read, | 21 | returns? |
| 22 | then, does that describe, or was that your effort | 22 | A No. I didn't do I didn't do an |
| 23 | to describe what we've been calling this practice | 23 | extrapolation of that to get to there. I looked |
| 24 | | 24 | at other documents that were evidencing a pattern |

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

| | Page 38 | | Page 40 |
|-----|--|--------|---|
| 1 | circumstances were for returns, and he generally | 1 | returns would be rejected, if they were? |
| 2 | described them to me, and I used these words to | 2 | A I don't recall seeing anything like |
| 3 | document the kind of information that I had seen | 3 | that |
| 4 | and heard. | 4 | Q And I guess the flip side of that |
| 5 | Q Did you ask him how many times it | 5 | coin is: Did you encounter any information in |
| 6 | happened? | 6 | your investigation that told you why returns were |
| 7 | A I don't recall asking that specific | 7 | being accepted? |
| 8 | question | 8 | A I don't recall seeing anything to |
| 9 | Q Did you ask him who the customers | 9 | that effect either. |
| 10 | were? | 10 | Q So then, in summary, and correct me |
| 11 | A No. | 11 | if this is fair or unfair to say, there was a |
| 12 | Q And did you ask him what were the | 12 | practice of returns at Adams Golf in 1998, and it |
| 13 | needs in the customer relationship that were being | 13 | was done on a case-by-case subjective basis; is |
| 14 | addressed by allowing the return? | 14 | that fair? |
| 15 | A No. | 1.5 | A I don't know that I could say |
| 16 | Q Who approved returns at Adams Golf in | 16 | specifically that it was subjective. I think I |
| 17 | 1998? | 17 | could agree with there was a pattern of — of |
| 18 | A I don't remember. | 18 | returns on a case-by-case basis. |
| 19 | Q Did you ask Darl Hatfield that | 19 | Because I was not there |
| 20 | question? | 20 | granting or not granting the return authorization, |
| 21 | A I don't think I asked who | 21 | I couldn't tell you if it was subjective or |
| 22 | specifically. I think I asked about the practice | 22 | objective. |
| 23 | of a return authorization, but I don't think I | 23 | Q Is it fair to say you've seen no |
| 24 | • | 24 | |
| | Page 39 | | Page 41 |
| ١, | | 73 | - |
| 1 2 | Q What was the practice of a return authorization in 1998? | 1 2 | A I think I can say that I've seen no evidence of an objective set of criteria. I think |
| 3 | A What I recall was that Mr. Hatfield | 3 | I've already testified about that |
| 4 | indicated to me that without a return | 4 | MR. MARA: Everybody okay? |
| 5 | authorization, the customer could not return | 5 | We'll take a very short break and off the record. |
| 6 | goods. They would not be accepted. And that was | 6 | (A recess was taken from |
| 7 | the extent of what my inquiry was about the return | 7 | 10:31 to 10:37.) |
| 8 | authorization process | 8 | MR. MARA: Back on the record |
| 9 | Q Did did he show you a return | 9 | Q (By Mr. Mara) We're going to move |
| 1.0 | authorization form? | 10 | off Paragraph 25 of Exhibit 302, and if I can |
| 11 | A No. | 11 | direct your attention to Paragraph 26. Just one |
| 12 | Q Did you ask to see one? | 12 | moment, if I may |
| 13 | A No. | 13 | And again, I started doing it, |
| 14 | Q Did he how many commercial account | 14 | I'll keep doing it, Paragraph 26 reads as follows: |
| 15 | sales returns were rejected by Adams Golf in 1998? | 1.5 | I do not consider the returns periods described |
| 16 | A I don't know. | 16 | above to be "relatively long" periods as that term |
| 17 | Q Did you ask that question of Darl | 17 | is used in FAS 48. |
| 18 | Hatfield? | 18 | What's your basis for that |
| 19 | A No. | 19 | statement? |
| 20 | Q Did you look for that information in | 20 | A Purely my experience as a public |
| 21 | the KPMG work papers? | 21 | accountant over the last 28, 29 years. |
| 22 | A No. | 22 | Q Is there any accounting literature or |
| 23 | Q Did any of your investigation — did | 23 | written guidance to consult on what is a |
| | | | |
| 24 | anything in your investigation tell you why | 24 | relatively long period or what is not a relatively |

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Page 50 Page 52 returns? 1 O (By Mr. Mara) Oh, sure 1 2 Depending on what else that they Α Well, there are a number of 2 Α knew, saw, observed. 3 implications of a hot-selling product, not the 3 4 least of which is whether you can produce enough, 4 Sure But those items I mentioned 5 supply enough, as well as how you estimate the would be at least components of the 5 decision-making process: Experience in the golf 6 flow of goods coming back to you so that you monitor both what goes out to the customer and 7 industry, experience as club makers and sellers? 8 As well as experience of returns of what comes back from the customer, monitoring what 8 9 comes back not only from a simple return basis, 9 what they're selling, because some returns happen but also from a -- a warranty basis. very quickly. Some returns happen over the next 10 11 So those things have been done 11 month. Some returns happen over the next two months. So it's not like you have -- it's not 12 by many companies. Almost every company that was 12 13 highly successful had to estimate what's coming like you're in a vacuum. You have information. back on both returns and warranty. Right Moving on, if I may, to 14 14 Q 15 Does it make it harder to do those 15 Paragraph 28, which is too long to read, and the 16 estimates, to make those estimates? question is very simple: What were the other 1.7 products? 99.5 percent of Adams Golf sales were From an accounting perspective, I 17 18 would not say particularly harder. Where you have clubs. 18 19 a great deal of volume, it probably makes the 19 My recollection is that there were accountant's job a bit easier. 20 some golf bags, and other than the golf bags, I 21 What's more difficult for the don't remember anything else, but there could have 21 22 accountant is if you sell three clubs and one of 22 been some other kind of promotional items that them comes back, the next three clubs you sell, 23 they sold. I call them promotional items, like should you allow for one? But when you're selling other golf things. Page 51 Page 53 1 30,000, from purely an accountant's perspective, 1 Okay. Paragraph 29, which I'll take 2 you can get a better sense for how things are 2 a shot at, for clarity of the record: Adams Golf 3 going when you're selling a high volume than you was aware of the susceptibility of its products to 3 can when you're selling a very low volume. external factors, including technological changes 4 4 and changes in demand Adams Golf did research 5 If sales are X and in a very short 5 6 period of time sales go to X plus 100, does that б and development as a mechanism to keep its 7 make it difficult for company management to look 7 products competitive and disclose the nature of back at an historical track record of returns and fierce competition from some of its competitors. 8 8 such as Callaway and Orlimar, O-r-l-i-m-a-r. These 9 estimate future returns? 9 factors were not sufficient to prevent Adams Golf 10 Not --10 from making a reasonable estimate of sales returns 11 MR BESSETTE: I was going to 11 during the class period. 12 say incomplete hypothetical, but go ahead. 1.2 13 Not necessarily. 1.3 Well, simply, what's the basis for your opinion that these factors were not (By Mr. Mara) Okay. And the 14 14 15 reason -- and to cut to the chase, then, company sufficient? 15 Well, a couple of things that I think management would simply rely on existing tools, 16 Α their experience in the golf industry to estimate of as I sit here. One is the company tracked 17 17 returns, even given the much higher sales volume? returns by type monthly, and the other basis for, 18 18 you know, these kinds of facts is basically the 19 MR BESSETTE: Same objection. disclosures of the company in the S-1A. 20 (By Mr. Mara) Is that a fair 20 21 And then I guess the third 21 statement? basis is, having been in the accounting profession MR. BESSETTE: Same objection. 22 22 since 1977, I've seen other companies face similar Yeah, and a whole bunch of other 23 23 Α

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

types of technological and demand changes with the

things.

24

18

23

19

Number two, that they had

Those are the things that I

introduced the Tight Lies in about 1995 and that

20 they had introduced some new clubs in 1999, so

21 that they had gone through some product

24 recall, as I sit here, as well as a -- now that I

22 introductions in the past.

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

products If something – some tremendous event

with 9/11, when the airplanes quit flying. But returns in the airplane business, I guess you had

24 reservations for multiple reasons. Some because

people canceling orders of planes post 9/11, just as you had ticket holders getting refunds on plane

happened - you know, I think we saw some of that

24 third quarter of the year?

| | Page 70 | | Page 72 |
|-----|--|-----|---|
| 1 | A I guess generally would be a | 1 | A I remember looking at some deposition |
| 2 | reasonable qualifier to that answer. | 2 | testimony around Telegolf, but other than that, |
| 3 | Q Okay. And skipping ahead now to | 3 | the other thing I did was I looked at the actual |
| 4 | Paragraph 38, which is too long to read, but | 4 | return history of the company in this period and |
| 5 | inevitably I end up reading it. | 5 | in the prior period and in the subsequent period |
| 6 | MR. MARA: Off the record for | 6 | Q Was there a fairly dramatic increase |
| 7 | one second | 7 | in returns in July of 1998? |
| 8 | (An off-the-record discussion | 8 | A You know, I never could get the month |
| 9 | was held from 11:30 to 11:30.) | 9 | of July isolated on a schedule that I thought was |
| 10 | MR. MARA: Back on Sorry. | 10 | reliable. I could only get the quarter of |
| 11 | Q (By Mr. Mara) Paragraph 38, do you | 11 | September 30, 1998, which includes the month of |
| 12 | know if did Adams Golf blame increased | 12 | July. |
| 13 | third-quarter returns on anything other than | 13 | Q There was an increase in return rate |
| 14 | Telegolf? | 14 | in the third quarter of 1998? |
| 15 | A I don't recall seeing any evidence or | 15 | A There was a slight increase, quite |
| 16 | hearing anything from Mr. Hatfield, other than the | 16 | slight, less than 10 percent increase in actual |
| 17 | experience with Telegolf | 17 | returns for the third quarter 1998. |
| 18 | Q Did you ask him if he blamed anything | 18 | Q And you're looking at? I'm sorry |
| 19 | else? | 19 | A I'm looking at Exhibit 4 to my |
| 20 | A I asked him a slightly different | 20 | report. |
| 21 | question, which was: Why the greater experience? | 21 | Q Okay. |
| 22 | But when he answered the question, I don't recall | 22 | A Which is about 30 pages in from the |
| 23 | if I asked: Was there anything else, because of | 23 | back. |
| 24 | the way we discussed Telegolf. | 24 | Q Okay. And based on your analysis, do |
| | Page 71 | | Page 73 |
| 1 | Q What was it about the way you | 1 | you blame or credit or view Telegolf as the cause |
| 2 | discussed Telegolf that made you think that that | 2 | of that 10 percent increase? |
| 3 | was as far as you needed to go? | 3 | A Well, I think I said it was less than |
| 4 | A It just seemed like that was the | 4 | 10 percent. |
| 5 | reason that that he had described | 5 | Q I'm sorry. |
| 6 | Q Why was Telegolf unsatisfactory? | 6 | A It's less than \$100,000 increase from |
| 7 | A Well, something – I think it was a | 7 | one quarter to the other. And I don't think I |
| 8 | deposition attributed the unsatisfactory | 8 | have done enough work on the million seven in |
| 9 | performance of them to Telegolf's lack of | 9 | returns for the quarter ended 9/30/98 to give you |
| 10 | knowledge of the sport and the company's products. | 10 | a specific cause of that. I can tell you what the |
| 11 | I think there was a deposition a set of | 11 | |
| 1.2 | deposition testimony that talked about that | 12 | evidence in the deposition suggests, but it just |
| 13 | Q And what does that mean? What is | 13 | suggests that to me. It could have been Telegolf |
| 14 | "lack of knowledge of the sport and the company's | 14 | and five other things. |
| 15 | products"? What does that mean? | 15 | But the increase for the |
| 16 | A Well, as I took it, it meant that | 16 | quarter ended 9/30/98 was only 95 \$91,000 in |
| 17 | they were doing something that Adams Golf felt | 17 | more returns in that quarter than in the quarter |
| 18 | wasn't really taking into account the customer | 18 | ended June 30, 1998. |
| 19 | base that they were selling to and the products | 19 | Q But I suppose this was repetitive, |
| 20 | that Adams had. I mean, that's how I took it. | 20 | but you did not go back and look at source |
| 21 | Q So beyond this interview with Darl | 21 | documents when reaching the conclusions you state |
| 22 | Hatfield, what, if any, other research did you do | 22 | in this Paragraph 38? |
| 23 | about the increased sales return trend in the | 23 | A I could not find source documents |
| | 37.7 . 63. 6 | مسا | the second and one to the test life of all of the |

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

24 that would add up to the totality of all of the

| 307-6 | Filed 09/18/2006 | Page 12 of 12 |
|-------|------------------|---------------|
| | | |
| | | |

Page 74 returns, so I did not look at source documents. 2 Did that trouble you in any way, that 2 3 you could not find source documents? 3 4 Not particularly. It doesn't 4 5 surprise me at all that years later source 5 6 documents for returns would not be maintained by a 6 7 company. 7 8 Q Did you ask for them? 8 9 Α I asked to see all the documents 9 10 discovered in this case in order to look to see if 10 those kinds of documents were there. 11 11 12 So sitting here this morning, are you 12 13 able to say exactly what returns were in July of 14 1998? 14 15 Α 15

No. I couldn't give you the exact number.

0 For that matter, are you able to say what returns were for any month in 1998?

19 I think I've seen some documents in 20 some of the months in 1998 that did track to the 21 reserve amounts that were on the books. So there 22 were some months in 1998 where there was a discovered document that looked like it was 24 verifiable back to the books and records of the

again, I don't want to put words either in your mouth or Darl Hatfield's mouth - does that translate, then, into relying on 1997? That's a bad question.

In estimating return reserves, a company relies on its history as one component to estimate return reserves. Is history in this

case a shorthand for 1997 when estimating 1998 return reserves?

I believe, in this case, the Α documents that I've been able to locate are limited to 1997 documents for history, and of course, 1998 for later quarters in 1998.

Q Sure.

Α But for - for instance, the first quarter of 1998, I was unable to locate detailed quarterly information for 1997, but was able to 17 locate annual information for 1997 to be able to 18 compare percentages of reserves by product line to 19

20 the prior year's historical information. 21 So yes, it was -- my

22 historical information was 1997 that I was looking

23 at for the 1998 first quarter.

24 Okay.

Page 75

Page 77

Page 76

company.

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

Did you make -- did you do comparisons of return rates on a monthly basis in 1998 to return rates on a monthly basis in 1997?

I had a schedule that had, I think, quarterlies in '98 to quarterlies in '97, but I'm not sure that I've seen any discovered document that had monthlies in '98 compared to monthlies in '97.

10 And I'll ask the same question of, 11 say, even '96. Did you do any analysis of comparing, let's say, 1997 monthly to 1996? I 12 suppose the answer is no. 13 14

Well, as I sit here, I don't remember seeing many 1996 documents. The '98 documents that I saw, I just don't remember if there was a month or two from '96 or not.

17 18 So when Darl Hatfield said: We were able to estimate our reserves based on our 19 history, did you just accept that statement, or 20 21 did you seek out facts to back that up? 22 I sought out discovered facts to look

23 at the history in 1997. 24 And by relying on our history -- and 1. MR. BESSETTE: I want to -- I 2 guess I want to make sure the record is clear. He

just explained what - what he did and what he 3

looked at, but was your question that or what the 4

5 company did?

11

12

6 MR MARA: No, just in pure 7 shorthand, you know. We say: You look at a

history, which is accepted by all on their own. 8 And my only question is: For Adams Golf, did 9

history mean 1997 --10

> MR BESSETTE: Okay. MR. MARA: -- for people in

1998 trying to look forward or make estimates? 1.3

14 I'm just saying, you know, the company hasn't been 15 around since 1850.

MR BESSETTE: So I think what 16

17 he wants to know is: When they were making estimates in '98, were they only looking at '97 or 18

did they do something else, or do you know? 19 20

(By Mr. Mara) That's exactly it.

21 I think the best estimates I have are

from the KPMG work papers, where the KPMG work 22

papers document what the company looked at and

what they looked at, which was that period for the

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

VERITEXT PA COURT REPORTING COMPANY (610) 434-8588 (215) 241-1000 (888) 777-6690